1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
3	(OAKLAND DIVISION)			
4	In re:			
5	BROOK STREET HOLDINGS LLO	С,	Case No. 19-41564	
6			Chapter 7	
7			Oakland, California October 10, 2019	
8	Debtor.		1:00 p.m.	
9		/		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO DAVID IRA KELVIN AND DONALD CHARLES SCHWARTZ			
11				
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROGER L. EFREMSKY			
13	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE			
14	APPEARANCES:			
15	For Donald Schwartz: SAMUEL C. BELLICINI, ESQ.			
16			ngate Drive #240 l, California 94903	
17				
18	For the Trustee:	SHIERKATZ BY: JEREMY W. KATZ, ESQ. 930 Montgomery Street #600 San Francisco, California 94133		
19				
20	_		7777 7777	
21	In pro per:	DAVID IRA	KELVIN	
22	Also Present:	DONALD SCH	HWARTZ	
23				
24				
25				

Case: 19-41564 Doc# 43 Filed: 10/24/19 Entered: 10/24/19 17:53:51 Page 1 of 59

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	
2	Day the H C Downton	
3	For the U.S. Trustee:	OFFICE OF THE U.S. TRUSTEE BY: TREVOR FEHR, TRIAL ATTORNEY 280 South First Street, Ste.268
4		San Jose, California 95113
5	For Jerry Edwin Heath:	MAAS & RUSSO, LLP
6	ror derry Edwin heach.	BY: DANIEL J. RUSSO, ESQ. 521 Georgia Street
7		Vallejo, California 94590
8	Court Recorder:	DAN SONDHEIM
9	Could Heedlast.	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 1300 Clay Street
10		Oakland, California 94612
11	Transcription Service:	Jo McCall
12	-	Electronic Court Recording/Transcribing
13		2868 E. Clifton Court Gilbert, AZ 85295
14		Telephone: (480) 361-3790
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Case: 19-41564 Doc# 43 Filed: 10/24/19 Entered: 10/24/19 17:53:51 Page 2 of 59

## PROCEEDINGS 1 2 October 10, 2019 1:00 p.m. 3 -000-COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. This is the United 4 States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, 5 with The Honorable Roger L. Efremsky presiding. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated. 8 ALL COUNSEL: Good afternoon. 9 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Calling Case No. 19-41564, 10 Brook Street Holdings LLC. Appearances, please. 11 MR. KELVIN: I'm David Kelvin. I'm appearing 12 pursuant to an Order to Show Cause. 13 COURTROOM DEPUTY: I need you to speak into the 14 microphone. 15 MR. KELVIN: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought somebody else was going to speak first. David Kelvin, I'm appearing 16 17 pursuant to an Order to Show Case. 18 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Kelvin. 19 20 MR. BELLICINI: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Sam 21 Bellicini appearing for attorney, Donald Schwartz. Mr. 22 Schwartz just texted me and said that he's parking.

Case: 19-41564 Doc# 43 Filed: 10/24/19 Entered: 10/24/19 17:53:51 Page 3 of 59

MR. BELLICINI: Thank you

THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you, Mr.

23

2.4

25

Bellicini.

```
MR. KATZ: Jeremy Katz for the Trustee, here to answer any questions.

THE COURT: All right.
```

MR. FEHR: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Trevor Fehr on behalf of the United States Trustee.

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Fehr.

MR. RUSSO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name

is Daniel Russo. I'm kind of a semi-tourist on this. I represent the managing partner of the LLC.

10 THE COURT: That is?

MR. RUSSO: Is Jerry Edwin Heath.

THE COURT: And you're Daniel Russo?

MR. RUSSO: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Monica, do you have Mr.

15 Russo's card?

4

5

8

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

16 COURTROOM DEPUTY: I do.

THE COURT: All right. Excellent. Let's wait for Mr. Schwartz to arrive here. As a side matter, Mr. Fehr, I saw that the United States Trustee has requested an order under Rule 2004 for an examination and production of documents directed to Edwin Heath. I just had a question. There were two items requested, and I just wanted to be clear on the dates. It was Line Item (c); it said "Copies of the Debtor's balance sheet and income statement for the year ending December 31st, 2019."

MR. FEHR: Oh, apologies, Your Honor. That should 1 2 be 2018. 3 THE COURT: And then the same thing for Line Item 4 (n) "Copies of Wood Street Plaza, LLC's balance sheet and income statement for the year ending December 31st, 2019," 5 also, 2018? MR. FEHR: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I'm prepared to sign off on 9 If you just make those two corrections to Line Items (c) and (n) and basically upload a new order that clarifies 10 11 those two things. 12 MR. FEHR: Will do, Your Honor. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Okay, very good. 14 (Pause.) 15 And is Mr. Heath here, Mr. Russo? MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 (Pause.) 19 And Mr. Katz, the couple behind you are? 20 MR. KATZ: Mr. and Mrs. Loftin (Phonetic). 21 They're the ones who filed the Proof of Claim. 22 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. And then 23 the gentleman in the far back, just so I know. 2.4 VOICE: Steven Morton (Phonetic), Wendel Rosen, 25 representing Mr. Yee (Phonetic) who holds the first

mortgage.

5

6

8

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right.

3 Mr. Schwartz is here?

4 MR. BELLICINI: Mr. Schwartz is here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: all right. Okay, Mr. Bellicini.

MR. BELLICINI: Should I approach?

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MR. BELLICINI: Thank you, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything -- and Mr.

10 | Bellicini, I've seen the most recent papers filed on

11 October 7<sup>th</sup>. Anything else you want to bring to the Court's

12 attention?

MR. BELLICINI: I don't think I have an update at

14 | this junction, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And Mr. Schwartz,

16 | if you could come forward, please.

MR. BELLICINI: Do you want me to step back?

18 THE COURT: You can have a seat there, Mr.

19 Bellicini.

22

20 MR. BELLICINI: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, if you could stand, I'm

going to have you sworn in.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you solemnly swear or affirm

24 | that the testimony you're about to give in the matter now

25 pending before this Court will be the truth, the whole

1 | truth, and nothing but the truth?

2 MR. SCHWARTZ: I do.

3 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schwartz, you can have a seat there and then if you can, pull that closer to you.

Very good.

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

MR. SCHWARTZ: I might need an assisting listening device. I'm not sure yet.

9 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Berly, (Phonetic) can 10 you assist Mr. Schwartz with that?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Let's give it a try. Thank you.

12 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you want to try it?

THE COURT: Okay. If you have any issues, let me know and then we'll give you the device, unless you want to put it on right now.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. I'll put it on.

THE COURT: It fits right into your ears.

18 MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, can you hear me?

20 MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, yes, but not through this

21 device.

22 THE COURT: Okay, Monica, can you see if you

23 can -- they're not hooked up?

24 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Here it goes.

THE COURT: Is that better, Mr. Schwartz?

1 MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right. Excellent. Monica, thank
you. All right. Mr. Schwartz, I just want to go through
your declaration, so I understand how it is that you came
in possession of the various documents that you filed for
this bankruptcy for Brook Street Holdings, LLC in Case No.
19-41564. In reviewing your initial declaration, you
indicate that on July 9th, 2019 -- I'm quoting from your

"I received instructions from the Debtor to file the petition for bankruptcy in this matter. The petition was signed by Attorney Kelvin. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the electronic facsimile transmittal I received from the Debtor at 9:37 a.m. on July 9th, 2019."

When you say you received it from the Debtor, are you saying you received it from Brook Street Holdings, LLC but via Mr. Heath?

MR. SCHWARTZ: That's my belief, yes.

THE COURT: That's a yes?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.

declaration:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: Okay. And Exhibit A is a g-mail. It appears to emanate from a Fed Ex office so that's coming from Brook Street Holdings, LLC's office or designated -
MR. SCHWARTZ: So to speak, yes.

Case: 19-41564 Doc# 43 Filed: 10/24/19 Entered: 10/24/19 17:53:51 Page 8 of 59

```
THE COURT: My understanding is it's a Fed Ex
1
2
    office or Fed Ex depository?
 3
              MR. SCHWARTZ: That's what it looks like.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. And then I see that it's sent
   to Trial Law at Crusio.com (Phonetic). Who is that?
 5
 6
              MR. SCHWARTZ: That's an e-mail address for me.
    It wasn't created by me, that name style, but that is my e-
 8
   mail address.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. And then Exhibit A is the
    petition that you received on July 9th from Mr. Heath.
10
11
              MR. SCHWARTZ: That's my belief, yes.
12
              THE COURT: Well, it's what your testimony is.
13
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, I received that e-mail -- I
    use an e-mail -- excuse me, I use a fax service, so faxes
14
15
    are converted somewhere in the cloud to a pdf file, and
    then I receive an e-mail.
16
17
              THE COURT: Okay. My point is, Exhibit A is what
18
    you believe you received directly from Brook Street
19
    Holdings, LLC and/or Mr. Heath.
20
              MR. SCHWARTZ: That's correct.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. Then at paragraph 3, you say
22
    that"
23
              "On the morning of July 10^{th}, 2019, I had a
2.4
              telephone conversation with Attorney Kelvin and
25
              confirmed that it was Debtor's instructions to
```

file the petition. At 9:14 a.m., I sent a confirming e-mail message to attorney Kelvin.

Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of that confirming e-mail message."

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, that's a little bit out of sequence. Before I filed it, when I was talking to Heath, and I believe there was someone else on the line, his assistant or somebody, I wanted to talk to Kelvin before I filed it, and on the Heath side of the call, Kelvin was phoned. Now Kelvin's declaration says I called him. No, I didn't -- I initiated talking to Kelvin. I wanted to talk to Kelvin before I filed it. And on the Heath side of the call, Kelvin was patched in or three-wayed in or four-wayed in; I don't know.

THE COURT: So he may have been -- wherever you were calling, he may have been in that office or he may have been reached by a cell phone or something and patched in?

MR. SCHWARTZ: I believe he was patched in. I mean it may have appeared to him that I was calling, but he was patched in.

THE COURT: Okay. Your point is -- I guess what I want to get at is, on the morning of July  $10^{\rm th}$ , 2019 at approximately 9:14 a.m., at that time, you initiated a call to Mr. Kelvin.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, again, I initiated wanting to talk to him, but I didn't dial his number.

2.4

THE COURT: You dialed -- who did you dial?

MR. SCHWARTZ: I was contacted by Heath, and I required to talk to Kelvin before I filed something on his behalf.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just trying to be clear on this. July  $9^{\rm th}$  is when you say you received instructions and the petition from Mr. Heath.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I believe there was -- honestly, there was a communication on the 9th. I hadn't received the petition until I believe it was 9:37 at night, and as I recall, I was going to bed. I may or may not have seen it that night, but I believe from my recollection, I knew it was coming that night of the 9th. And I also remember -- I don't know why I remember it specifically, but I remember I'm going to bed. And I work out of a home office. I'm going to bed; I'm not going to stay up and open it up and look at it.

THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Schwartz -MR. SCHWARTZ: To my belief, I opened it up that
night.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Excuse me, the next morning; I misspoke.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that contradicts 1 2 what your sworn statement says. I'll read again from your 3 sworn statement. That was your initial statement filed in response to the OSC, which was filed with this court on September 4<sup>th</sup>, 2009 (sic) at Docket No. 20. And paragraph 2 6 says: 7 "On July 9<sup>th</sup>, 2019, I received instructions from 8 the Debtor to file the petition for bankruptcy in 9 this matter. The petition was signed by Attorney 10 Kelvin. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the electronic facsimile 11 12 transmittal I received from the Debtor at 9:37 13 a.m. in the morning on July 9th, 2019." MR. SCHWARTZ: No. I believe that's a -- I 14 15 presently believe that's a typo that should be 9:37 p.m. 16 That's my belief. 17 THE COURT: Okay. I'm looking at the g-mail. It 18 says Tuesday, July 9th, 2019, 9:37 p.m. Okay. All right. Then on the morning of July  $10^{th}$  -- this is paragraph 3 from 19 20 your initial declaration. It says: "On the morning of July  $10^{th}$ , 2019, I had a 21 22 telephone conversation with Attorney Kelvin and 23 confirmed that it was Debtor's instructions to 2.4 file the petition. At 9:14 a.m., I sent a 25 confirming e-mail message to Attorney Kelvin.

1 Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy 2 of that confirming e-mail message." 3 Whether you initiated -- you initiated a call to 4 Mr. Kelvin's office. You didn't reach him directly, but he 5 was then subsequently patched in? 6 MR. SCHWARTZ: No. I was talking to Heath, and I wanted to talk to Kelvin directly about -- I was of the belief at that time that I could use my ecf credentials --8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Before we get to that, I want 10 to get -- I'm just trying to -- on the morning of July  $10^{th}$ , it had to be prior to 9:14 because in paragraph 3, it says: 11 12 "At 9:14 a.m., I sent a confirming e-mail message 13 to Attorney Kelvin. Attached as Exhibit B is a 14 true and correct copy of the confirming e-mail 15 message." 16 So prior to 9:14 a.m., you communicated with Mr. Kelvin? 17 MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. I apologize. I communicated 18 with Kelvin before I filed it. At what time was it filed? Can you refresh my recollection? 19 20 THE COURT: Well, you state in your declaration at 21 paragraph 3, it say: 22 "At 9:14 a.m., I sent a confirming e-mail message 23 to Attorney Kelvin. Attached as Exhibit B is a 2.4 true and correct copy of the confirming e-mail 25 message."

And when I refer to Exhibit B, it's dated Wednesday, July  $10^{th}$ , 2019 at 9:14 a.m. and it says:

"Dave: This will confirm our call this morning that you instruct and authorize the -- my courier deliver to the USBK Court the attached petition."

And the attached petition is the voluntary petition referring to Brook Street Holdings, LLC.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I was confirming the conversation that we had just had.

THE COURT: Right. So my point is this, prior to 9:14 in the morning on July 10<sup>th</sup>, you communicated directly with Mr. Kelvin seeking confirmation that the client wanted to proceed with filing the bankruptcy, and he said what?

MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't recall his exact words, but the gist of what I -- I guess you would say I feel, the feeling that I got from him was he didn't like it, but he was okay with it.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.4

MR. SCHWARTZ: If that makes any sense.

THE COURT: It doesn't. You received a request from Mr. Heath, the managing member of Brook Street Holdings, LLC, on July 9<sup>th</sup> saying, file this bankruptcy petition. You say that on July 10<sup>th</sup>, you were communicating with Mr. Heath and said, before I file this, I want to talk with Mr. Kelvin about the filing.

1	MR. SCHWARTZ: That's correct.
2	THE COURT: And what did you ask Mr. Kelvin?
3	MR. SCHWARTZ: Do you want me to file this, or
4	words to that effect.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And he said?
6	MR. SCHWARTZ: My recollection is he has a way
7	about him well, he's an old family friend; I've known
8	Dave for decades and we could get into that if you want,
9	but he has a way about him that's well, okay that's
10	what I would say was his response.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Based on that response and
12	by the way, was Mr. Heath on that phone conversation?
13	MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So then you sent a confirming
15	e-mail to him, which is your Exhibit B, which I previously
16	read that's dated Wednesday, July 10th, 2019 at 9:14 a.m.:
17	"Dave: This will confirm our call this morning
18	that you instruct and authorize the my courier
19	delivery to the USBK Court the attached
20	petition."
21	And the attached petition is the voluntary petition that
22	you received from Mr. Heath on July $9^{\rm th}$ .
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes. It's the exact same pdf file.
24	I stored it on my computer with a new name because when it
25	came in faxed, it had no title, so it could be put into a

1 filing system, a digital filing system. In other words, I 2 labeled it however you see it labeled. It probably says 3 Brook Street BK petition. 4 THE COURT: Well, I guess what I have4 is -- I'm 5 referring to --6 MR. SCHWARTZ: It's the exact same pdf file. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So there were no changes made 8 to the petition itself. 9 MR. SCHWARTZ: No. I did not make any. 10 THE COURT: All right. So Exhibit A is the petition that was received from Mr. Heath to file. Exhibit 11 12 B is an exact copy, no changes whatsoever. 13 MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct. 14 THE COURT: You didn't authorize any changes? 15 MR. SCHWARTZ: I didn't authorize any changes. 16 THE COURT: You didn't personally make any 17 changes? 18 MR. SCHWARTZ: I made no changes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then reading 20 on, paragraph 4 of your initial declaration, it says: 21 "44 minutes later, 9:58 a.m., I used my 22 electronic case filing for an ecf credentials to 23 file the within petition. The Pacer copy of the petition is attached as Exhibit C. I ask the 2.4 25 Court to take judicial notice of Exhibit C."

- Exhibit C is the voluntary petition for Brook Street

  Holdings, LLC, and Exhibit C then would be a true and

  correct copy of the initial petition received from Mr.

  Heath, Exhibit A?
- 5 MR. SCHWARTZ: Absolutely.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay.

9

10

11

12

21

- MR. SCHWARTZ: To make a change, I would have to print it out or something, which I didn't do.
- THE COURT: All right. I guess what I want to be clear on is, the petition that came in from Mr. Heath is the same petition that was copied to Mr. Kelvin and ultimately filed with the court.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct.
- 14 THE COURT: There were no changes made whatsoever.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct.
- 16 THE COURT: And was there anybody in your office?
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, by then I was over the hill at the law library in Santa Clara County.
- THE COURT: Okay. Well, on July 9<sup>th</sup> -- I mean did anybody else have possession of the initial petition
- MR. SCHWARTZ: No.

received from your client?

- THE COURT: Okay. So you're the only one that had access to it.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct.

THE COURT: And the same thing on July 10th. 1 2 MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct. 3 THE COURT: Okay. In paragraph 5, it says: 4 "Within minutes I received a copy of the 5 voluntary dismissal in this matter, apparently 6 signed by Attorney Kelvin. 63 minutes after 7 filing the petition at 11:01 a.m. on July 10<sup>th</sup>, 8 2019, I filed the voluntary dismissal. The Pacer 9 copy of the dismissal is attached as Exhibit D. 10 I ask the Court to take judicial notice of Exhibit D." 11 12 All right. With regards to the filing of the bankruptcy 13 between Brook Street Holdings, LLC, when did you get involved with any discussions regarding the filing of the 14 bankruptcy? 15 MR. SCHWARTZ: I'd have to check my records, but 16 17 within -- and I'm -- it's an educated guess, within 24 18 hours of July 9th. Now this may not rub His Honor the right way, but I have -- I am a former senior financial analyst 19 in the Department of Justice in Washington. 20 I served on 21 the staff of the Assistant Attorney General in charge of 22 the anti-trust division. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 2.4 MR. SCHWARTZ: I have an MBA in Finance and 25 Investments from the George Washington University.

to law school at night. I worked -- I got that job at 23 years old, and I worked full time in the Justice Department and went to law school on the night program at Georgetown.

Prior to that, I had worked on Capitol Hill for Congressman Ron --

THE COURT: And how is this relevant?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, I have sort of a saying about competition and free markets and the formation of capital because I believe that -- I wrote a paper about it -- that free competition requires certain freedom of the formation of capital because I happen to believe that all competition --

THE COURT: But Mr. Schwartz, how is this relevant to the filing of this bankruptcy?

MR. SCHWARTZ: It's -- I'm getting to that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZ: So when -- now I have operated for many years under a belief that people have a constitutional right to file bankruptcy. I don't know if the law bears that out, but I know that bankruptcy is mentioned in the U.S. Constitution, so I had a belief for many years -- I don't know if I believe that now -- but I had a belief for many years that there is a constitutional right to access to the Bankruptcy Courts. I have felt that that's a very strong right that should not be backed away from. People

should be encouraged to assert it. And the U.S. Supreme

Court came out with "Citizens United." What they said in

that opinion was that -- I don't know if the Court said

that corporations are -- or LLC's, if you will, are people.

I don't know if that's really what the opinion says, but

the Court does say that people have a right of free speech,

and the Court is not going to get into how they aggregate

it, if it be through corporation or otherwise.

2.4

And I happen to think that that's probably an appropriate approach. So I developed — I have to say, Your Honor, I really wanted to learn bankruptcy law. It appealed to my sense of intellect. I have tried and I've tried; I've gone to the Judges' Conference in San Francisco. I concluded that you cannot maneuver it without making it an all-day, every-day endeavor and having somebody looking over your shoulder. I just don't — you might call it a "closed shop." But in any event, I wanted to learn bankruptcy. I think that's over with now, but — it's just not going to happen, if I ever practice law again, which based on my health right now, is very doubtful.

But in any event, I had a belief that I was working on to develop a test case that LLC's are to be able to file a Chapter 13 because most -- they say two-thirds of all new jobs created in the United States are created

- 1 through the formation of small business, and I wanted to
- 2 | take -- and I think it's a good faith extension of the
- 3 | law -- a case, a test case, through the Bankruptcy Courts
- 4 | in wherever, to the Ninth Circuit or to the U.S. Supreme
- 5 Court, to the effect that an LLC ought to be able to file a
- 6 | Chapter 13. So when Heath first communicated with me about
- 7 this, I recommended filing a 13 and making it a test case.
- 8 | He came back with me with a 7. So, you know, that's the
- 9 discussion I had with Heath within, say, 24 hours or
- 10 thereabouts from July 9<sup>th</sup>.
- 11 THE COURT: So 24 hours prior to July 9<sup>th</sup>, you were
- 12 talking to Mr. Heath about filing a Chapter 13.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: That's what I thought about doing.
- 14 | I might have felt different about it had he agreed with
- 15 that. I've talked to James Hayworth about that. I
- 16 consider him acting in bankruptcy law. He filed a
- 17 | spectacular idea.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, my question is, you testified
- 19 | that the petition you received -- you didn't prepare the
- 20 petition; it was prepared by someone else.
- 21 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's correct.
- 22 THE COURT: But the petition you received and
- 23 ultimately filed is the exact same document.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Absolutely.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. And that you made no changes to

```
1
    the original document received.
2
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Of course not.
3
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. SCHWARTZ: I filed the exact same pdf file
4
    that I received -- as I received a fax because it's
5
 6
    converted to pdf -- and the only thing that changed was the
    title of the document, the pdf file, so I could store it in
8
    the order that --
9
              THE COURT: You didn't change anything on the
10
   petition itself that you received.
11
              MR. SCHWARTZ: No, absolutely not.
12
              THE COURT: All right.
13
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Can I elaborate a little bit more
14
    on my Chapter 13 hypothesis?
15
              THE COURT: No. I mean I -- you're not alone in
   that belief.
16
17
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Because, you know, when the Court
18
   says it's not -- when the Superior Court says it's not
19
   going to get into how people aggregate their free speech,
20
    something went off in me that said, well, maybe the
21
   Court -- if they're going to have "Citizens United," then
22
   maybe we could carry it all the way through and say that
23
   the Court should not get into how people aggregate capital.
   And the aggregation of capital in small business is
24
25
    obviously something very important in our economy.
```

1	THE COURT: All right. Let me move on to the	
2	declaration that was filed with the court, Docket No. 35,	
3	on October 7, 2019. In paragraph 2, you indicate that:	
4	"During September 25 <sup>th</sup> , 2019 telephone	
5	conversation with Mr. Heath, myself, and Mr.	
6	Bellecini, Mr. Heath said that he met Mr. Kelvin	
7	in person at the San Jose Superior Court on	
8	either July $9^{\text{th}}$ or July $10^{\text{th}}$ , 2019, and Mr. Kelvin	
9	signed the within petition for bankruptcy. I do	
10	not have knowledge or belief who is in possession	
11	of the (quote 'wet signature', closed quote,	
12	petition. I do not have it because it never came	
13	into my possession.	
14	Did you ask Mr. Heath if he had the original wet	
15	signatures?	
16	MR. SCHWARTZ: I've asked him since this came up,	
17	and he says, no, he doesn't have it.	
18	THE COURT: That he does not have them.	
19	MR. SCHWARTZ: Of the petition, correct.	
20	THE COURT: Right. Did he say he ever had them?	
21	MR. SCHWARTZ: I didn't ask him that.	
22	THE COURT: Okay.	
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: I assumed so.	
24	THE COURT: All right. And then do you have the	
25	original wet signature for the dismissal?	

```
MR. SCHWARTZ: By the way, I believe I asked him
1
2
    that question when we were initially filing it, that he had
 3
    it, but since the passage of time, I have not asked him
    again.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. Do you have the original wet
 6
    signature of the dismissal?
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, I do.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. Monica, can you get that?
 9
              MR. BELLECINI: Your Honor, would you permit me to
10
    look at it as well?
11
              THE COURT: I assume you had seen it.
12
              MR. BELLECINI: I've only seen a copy. I asked
13
   Mr. Schwartz to bring it.
14
              THE COURT: Why don't you approach -- go ahead,
15
   Mr. Schwartz.
16
              MR. BELLECINI: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              MR. SCHWARTZ: I have very bad lighting in my
18
    office, and I thought that was it. That's a copy.
19
              THE COURT: Why don't we take a brief recess for
20
    five minutes. You can go look through the documents so you
21
    can examine it.
22
              MR. BELLECINI: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. All right.
         (Whereupon, a recess is taken at 1:35 p.m., and the
24
25
    court is reconvened at 1:39 p.m.)
```

THE COURT: All right. Back on the record. Mr Bellecini, did you have an opportunity to review the document?

MR. BELLECINI: I did, Your Honor, and it appears to me Mr. Schwartz presented me with two documents, and if I may approach Mr. Schwartz.

THE COURT: Please. The record will reflect Mr. Bellecini is approaching the witness.

MR. BELLECINI: He has given me two documents, one appears to be a copy and the other one appears to be a wet original. It's in black ink, not blue ink, and I'm not a handwriting expert, but it appears that this signature is crisp, consistent with blank ink rather than xerox.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.4

MR. BELLECINI: I'll leave that for the Court to decide.

THE COURT: All I'm going to ask Mr. Schwartz is if he represents to me that this is the wet signature he was handed, I'm just going to take it into the Court's possession.

MR. BELLECINI: Fair enough.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right.

Mr. Schwarz, if you -- Monica, if you could approach the witness. Mr. Schwartz, if you could provide Ms. Berly with the original wet signature of the dismissal.

1	MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.	
2	THE COURT: All right. For the record, Mr.	
3	Schwartz has handed my Courtroom Deputy what he purports to	
4	be the original wet signature of a voluntary dismissal in	
5	Case No. 19-41564. We will mark this as the Court's	
6	Exhibit No. 1. Monica, I will give that back to you.	
7	All right. Then Mr. Schwartz, reading on from	
8	your declaration filed Docket No. 35, October 7, 2019,	
9	you indicate that you did not prepare the dismissal. It	
10	says: "I did not prepare" this is at paragraph 4:	
11	"I did not prepare the within dismissal. Mr.	
12	Heath handed me the original dismissal on July	
13	$10^{\rm th}$ , 2019, and I obtained the copy that I filed	
14	with Mr. Kelvin's e-signature from a flash drive	
15	that Mr. Heath handed to me."	
16	So the original wet signature is the same wet signature	
17	dismissal that Mr. Heath handed to you on July $10^{\mathrm{th}}$ .	
18	MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct.	
19	THE COURT: Okay. Going on, it says:	
20	"In the telephone conversation my attorney and I	
21	had with Mr. Heath on September $25^{\rm th}$ , $2019$ , Mr.	
22	Heath stated he himself drafted the dismissal."	
23	And then in paragraph 5, you say:	
24	"In continuation of the time line from paragraph	
25	8 of my declaration in support filed on September	

 $27^{th}$ , 2019, on July  $10^{th}$ , 2019 at 10:29 a.m., I 1 2 replied to Mr. Kelvin's e-mail of 10:11 a.m., 3 copy attached hereto as Exhibit H and told him I 4 would dismiss the case." Why did you tell him you were going to dismiss the case? 5 6 MR. SCHWARTZ: Because he was not -- the way I took it -- he was not -- well, the way I took it, he --8 when he replied -- after I filed it, I sent him the filed petition, and so I confirmed the conversation by -- I sent 10 him the petition; I filed the petition. I don't recall which -- I believe I sent him the filed petition from the 11 12 ecf because I believe I'm now in a position of being his 13 lawyer, so to speak, so I'm copying him -- starting a 14 process of copying him on everything. And then he responds, that's not how I recall the conversation. 15 16 thinking this guy is giving himself some liberal room or something. So we can't go there. So better just dismiss 17 18 it. Just forget it. And I don't know if it makes sense to 19 His Honor or not, but my intention was to -- if there was 20 going to be a problem with this, I wanted it dismissed 21 before any trustee sale date so that we would not be

THE COURT: And when was the trustee sale?

MR. SCHWARTZ: I believe it was that day on July

10th. I don't know that, but sitting here now, that's what

complicit with upending a trustee sale.

22

23

2.4

25

1 | I believe.

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- THE COURT: All right. Had you had any
- 3 communication with the --
- MR. SCHWARTZ: That's why I tried to do -- I believe it was around noon, maybe at noon.
  - THE COURT: And had you had any communication with the foreclosing entity or agent for the entity saying that they would postpone the foreclosure prior to the dismissal being filed with the court?
  - MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't recall that. I don't recall that I had such a conversation.
  - THE COURT: Did Mr. Heath or Mr. Kelvin have a communication with you saying that they had spoken with the foreclosing entity or agent and they said that they would go ahead and kick the foreclosure for a period of time so we can go ahead and dismiss the bankruptcy?
  - MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't know, but I have no belief that the sale date was not going forward.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: And, you know, subsequently, I
  believe it's borne out that somebody else negotiated a
  continuance of the sale date.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. And then you indicated that the e-mail that you received with the petition from Mr. Heath, 25 you received that on July 9<sup>th</sup> at 9:37 p.m. in the evening,

correct?

2.4

2 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's what's dated on it.

3 THE COURT: But you didn't read it that night.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't recall that I did. I don't recall that I didn't, but I have no recollection of looking at it that night.

THE COURT: So as you sit here today, you can't tell the Court whether you looked at that e-mail on the evening of July 9th or didn't look at it. If you don't know, I don't want you to speculate.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't know. There's lots of possibilities. I mean it's possible I saw the e-mail and just walked away and didn't open it up. It's possible I looked at it briefly, but my recollection was I'm going to -- that's kind of early for me to go to bed, but I recall -- I guess that's why I remember -- I just walked away and went to bed.

THE COURT: All right. And then on July 10<sup>th</sup>, you got up in the morning at what time, roughly?

MR. SCHWARTZ: That was when I was in full tilt, boogie mode, which I had been in for 33 years, and in less than two weeks after July  $10^{\rm th}$  -- in fact, 12 days after July  $10^{\rm th}$ , I was in the emergency room with a cardiac incident.

THE COURT: My question to you is, on July 10<sup>th</sup>, do

you recall what time you got up that morning? 2 MR. SCHWARTZ: No. But it's typical that I would 3 get up at 5:30 in the morning or so. THE COURT: Okay. And then some time later that 4 5 morning, you proceeded from -- now where did you -- where is your home, when you woke up? MR. SCHWARTZ: In Aptos, California. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So in Aptos. So some time 9 after you woke up, I assume you drove to San Jose? 10 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's correct. I usually try to get through the traffic before, you know, 6:00 o'clock when 11 12 it jams up. 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. SCHWARTZ: And I don't know if it's of any 14 interest to the Court, but just it's a matter of fact that 15 on July 22<sup>nd</sup>, I had a cardiac incident. I was in the 16 17 emergency room. My heart was stopped and restarted. 18 THE COURT: I'm sorry to hear that. 19 MR. SCHWARTZ: I subsequently found out that that's not a pit stop at the Endy500, and it's taken me a 20 21 long time to recover from that. I was --22 THE COURT: Okay. All right, well, Mr. 23 Schwartz --2.4 MR. SCHWARTZ: I just want to say this because 25 it's just the truth. I was back in the emergency room five

- days later. I was released and sent to a cardiologist.

  That never happened. I went back to the ER. That was a
- 3 Monday, and then it was the following Thursday, I believe,
- 4 and then the following subsequent Saturday, I was
- 5 hospitalized, and there's not a clear diagnosis yet.
- THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to get into your health issues. I'm just noting it for the record. I want to go back to your declaration, Docket 35, filed on the 7<sup>th</sup> of October, paragraph 5, where you go on and you state
- 10 | that --
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Can I say one thing, Your Honor?
- 12 THE COURT: Let me proceed with this.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay.
- 14 THE COURT: It says:
- 15 "At the time I was in the law library near San
- Jose Superior Court with Mr. Heath."
- 17 This is on July  $10^{th}$ , 2019.
- 18 "Mr. Heath led me to believe that Mr. Kelvin was
- 19 nearby."
- 20 How did he do that?
- MR. SCHWARTZ: He told me.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. Did he say where he was in San
- 23 Jose?
- 24 MR. SCHWARTZ: Some courthouse nearby. I believe
- 25 | it was the Hall of Justice Criminal Court on West Hedding

(Phonetic).

THE COURT: Okay. You go on to say that:

"Mr. Heath left and returned and shortly with the original of the dismissal and a flash drive containing the copy with Mr. Kelvin's esignature. I filed the dismissal at 11:01 a.m.,

Exhibit D to my declaration filed September

4,2019 and returned the flash drive to Mr. Heath.

At 11:05 a.m., I sent Mr. Kelvin another e-mail attaching a copy of the filed dismissal, a copy attached as Exhibit I. I did not receive a reply of any sort to either Exhibit H or I from Mr.

Kelvin."

All right. I have one other question for you. Can you explain to the Court that if Exhibit A to Docket 20 filed on September 4, 2019 is the original document you received from the client, Exhibit A, and you testified that you received it; you were the only one that had access to it --

MR. SCHWARTZ: This is the petition, correct?

THE COURT: The petition, correct. -- that you made no changes. You simply took that document, put a heading on it for purposes of being able to recover it from a file standpoint, ultimately sent a copy to -- the identical copy to Mr. Kelvin to confirm, I'm going to go ahead and file this -- at least you believe it was his

instruction that it was okay to file it, and the client had directed you, Mr. Heath, on behalf of the LLC, and then you subsequently filed that same Exhibit A that's Exhibit C which is attached to your declaration. But when I review Exhibit A, page 1, the box at the top, it says Case No; it says Chapter you are filing under, Chapter 13 is checked.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Well --

2.4

THE COURT: Right? And then when I go to page 3 of Exhibit A, Question 7, "check one," and again the Chapter 13 box is checked. But when I go to Exhibit B, and I go to page 1 of the petition, now Chapter 7 is checked, and when I go to page 3 of Exhibit B, Chapter 7 is checked. And then when I go to Exhibit C, I see that it too was filed on page 1 as a Chapter 7 and page 3 of Exhibit C also Chapter 7 is checked. How can you explain that if you made no changes and you filed the original document from the client?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Is His Honor saying they're different?

THE COURT: Mr. Bellecini, do you have copies of the documents that you filed?

MR. BELLECINI: I did not bring a copy of the September  $4^{\text{th}}$  declaration with me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Berly, wCould you be kind enough to print off Mr. Schwartz's declaration, and this is from

```
Docket No. 20, and print out, if you could, two copies of
1
2
    the same with the attachments?
 3
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay.
 4
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: No. 20 has no attachments.
              THE COURT: Well, I have -- it's the Respondent,
 5
 6
    Donald Schwartz, Response to Order to Show Cause, Docket 20
    filed 9-4-19. That's Mr. Bellecini's -- no, excuse me.
 8
    Then Docket No. 21 is the Declaration of Mr. Bellecini who
    then attaches. So it's Docket No. 21.
10
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay.
11
              THE COURT: So my prior references to Docket No.
12
    20 really should be to Docket No. 21.
13
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Can I --
14
              THE COURT: I want to print these out so that you
15
    can take a look at them, and I want two copies so Mr.
   Bellecini can see the same.
16
17
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: You want just the first page.
18
              THE COURT: No, I want the Declaration of Mr.
    Bellecini, Mr. Schwartz, and all the exhibits to Docket No.
19
20
    21.
21
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay. I'm afraid that I'm going
22
    to print them in chambers, because they're 41 pages.
23
              THE COURT: That's right. We'll take a brief
    recess for five minutes to allow you to --
2.4
25
         (Whereupon, a recess is taken at 1:50 p.m., and the
```

1 court is reconvened at 1:58 p.m.) 2 THE COURT: Copy of Docket No. 21 prepared by Mr. 3 Bellecini and the same -- a true and correct copy of Exhibit 21 (sic) to Mr. Schwartz for their review. 5 MR. SCHWARTZ: And what exhibit am I looking at, 6 Your Honor? THE COURT: I direct your attention to Exhibit A. 8 MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. 9 THE COURT: And turning to the first page of the 10 voluntary petition. 11 MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Is this all I'm looking at 12 is Exhibit A? 13 THE COURT: No. You're looking at -- what you've been handed is Exhibit No. 21 prepared by your attorney, 14 Mr. Bellicini, filed on September 4, 2019 which the first 15 page is Mr. Bellicini's declaration, and then the next two 16 pages is your declaration, which he references and then 17 18 behind your declaration is Exhibit A, B, C, D, E and then a Certificate of Service. 19 MR. SCHWARTZ: Is there a difference between --20 21 THE COURT: Well, I'd like to direct your 22 attention to Exhibit A. Turn to the first page of the 23 petition. It says "Official Form 101 Voluntary Petition

MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay.

2.4

for Individual Filing for Bankruptcy."

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. You're at page No. 1?
2
    you need some assistance, Mr. Schwartz?
 3
              MR. BELLICINI: Maybe I can assist him, Your
    Honor.
              THE COURT: If you could, Mr. Bellicini.
 5
 6
              MR. BELLICINI: I'd be happy to.
              MR. SCHWARTZ: No, I'm seeing what's going on
 8
   here.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. So on Official Form 101,
10
    it's marked Chapter 13 and page 3 of Official Form 101 it
11
   marks Chapter 13.
12
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Right. I see what's going on.
13
              THE COURT: And then at Exhibit B, I'd like to
14
    direct your attention to Official From 101 Voluntary
15
    Petition, page 1, now box Chapter 7 is checked. And when
16
    you go to page 3 of Exhibit B, Chapter 7 is checked.
17
              Thank you, Mr. Bellicini. You can have a seat,
18
   Mr. Bellicini.
19
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Which --
20
              MR. BELLICINI: Pardon me?
21
              THE COURT: You can have a seat.
                                                Thank you.
22
              MR. BELLICINI: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Which -- okay. So --
              THE COURT: All right. Can you explain to the
2.4
25
    Court why it is that Exhibit A and Exhibit B are different
```

on pages 1 and 3 of the voluntary petition?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Well, it's simple. And this is the first time I noticed this and I don't know what is really going on here, but I want to preface my remarks by saying one thing. There was one doctor that diagnosed me with dementia, but that --

THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, I'm just asking you to explain to me why they're different based on your prior testimony.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. What I think is going on here and I have to figure it out, I prepared a draft Chapter 13 for Brook Street Holdings, LLC and gave it to Heath when he -- 24 hours earlier.

THE COURT: On July 8th.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Thereabouts, I believe. And he didn't want to file a 13. Like I said, I probably would have filed it on my own if it was a 13 as on this test case theory that I just talked about. So I'm going to have to -- so there is a 13 draft unsigned, to my knowledge, floating out there. Now --

THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, you testified that
Exhibit A you received directly from Mr. Heath. It's a
signed voluntary petition and the Chapter 13 boxes are
checked on pages 1 and 13. I then have Exhibit B which you
said should be a direct identical copy of Exhibit A as

- should Exhibit C and that you made no changes whatsoever.

  You simply took the document you received from the client
  and provided a copy to Mr. Kelvin and then filed it with
  the court, and you testified under oath here today that you
  made no changes whatsoever.
  - MR. SCHWARTZ: I made no changes.

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- THE COURT: I'm asking you to explain to me how it is that Exhibit A and Exhibit B and Exhibit C differ, A, pages 1 and 3 of the voluntary petition are different.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Now I'm trying to -- what I'm telling His Honor is, on my computer is a draft of a 13 that I prepared. To my knowledge, it was never signed and filed. I do not know, as I sit here now if Heath or even in some confusion with Mr. Bellecini in preparing this declaration that draft document got mixed up.
- THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, I'm going to take a moment. I'm going to caution you. You're under oath.
- 18 MR. SCHWARTZ: I know that.
- THE COURT: And if you continue or if you perjure yourself, you will be held responsible. I'm warning you.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm trying to figure out what happened.
- THE COURT: Be careful what you say.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: I understand. I'm trying to figure
  out what happened here.

1 (Pause.) 2 Okay. A --3 (Pause.) 4 Okay. Exhibit B is what I received --5 THE COURT: No, Exhibit A is what you testified is 6 what you received from the client. 7 MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, Exhibit --8 THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, let me read. It says --9 paragraph 2 of your declaration says: "On July 9th, 2019, I received instructions from 10 11 Debtor to file the petition for bankruptcy in 12 this matter. The petition was signed by Attorney 13 Kelvin. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of an electronic facsimile 14 15 transmittal I received from the Debtor at 9:37 16 a.m. (which you corrected that it was at p.m.) on July 9<sup>th</sup>, 2019." 17 18 Exhibit A, the boxes on page 1 and 3 of the voluntary 19 petition, Chapter 13 is checked. On Exhibit B and C, on 20 pages 1 and 3, Chapter 7 is checked. 21 MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. All I can tell you is --22 first of all, I received -- I might have received -- how 23 the 7 got mixed up with the 13, I don't know, but I received what I believe is a Chapter 7 signed, and I --2.4 25 THE COURT: Which you provided to the court under

penalty of perjury what you received was a Chapter 13 filing. That's what your testimony is under oath. You not only state that in your response filed on September 4<sup>th</sup>, but I have a further declaration from you that -- this is from Docket No. 32 filed on 9-27-19 and it indicates the exact same thing. Attached as Exhibit A -- and I will read specifically what paragraph. It says, paragraph 4 on page 2 of your declaration, it says:

2.4

"Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of an electronic facsimile transmittal I received from the Debtor at 9:37 a.m. on July 9th, 2019.

The petition for bankruptcy in this matter was attached to the e-mail message. I do not know for certain who signed the petition for bankruptcy in the matter. It bears a signature that purports to belong to Attorney David Kelvin. On the morning of July 10th, 2019, I had a telephone conversation..."

And then it goes on and refers to your conversation with him, but Exhibit A is the same thing attached to your previous declaration, and it's a voluntary petition for Chapter 13, not a 7. So you've stated under penalty of perjury in writing twice, and today, you've testified to the same thing.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, when I testified, I didn't

- have these in front of me, but I'm telling you, Your Honor, the fax that I got at 9:37 July 9<sup>th</sup> is what I confirmed to
- 3 | Kelvin I was going to file, and I did file. Now, there --
- THE COURT: And I'm saying they're different, and
- 5 I'm asking you to explain how the document was changed.
- 6 MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, this Exhibit A, I do not
- 7 believe that, sitting here now, that this Fed Ex scan
- 8 | 1348K file is the attached 13 petition on this Exhibit A.
- 9 There's been some kind of a mistake.
- THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schwartz, I have no
- 11 | further questions. Mr. Bellecini --
- MR. BELLECINI: Yes, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: -- do you have any questions for him?
- MR. SCHWARTZ: I --
- 15 THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, there's no question
- 16 | before you right now. Mr. Bellecini, just so you know, I'm
- 17 going to be continuing this OSC for further hearing. So
- 18 | this is not going to be your last opportunity to ask
- 19 questions of your client.
- 20 MR. BELLECINI: I'm so grateful to hear that, Your
- 21 Honor. I have no questions at this time.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 23 MR. SCHWARTZ: I'd like --
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, you can step down.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: I'd like to state a belief.

THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, you may step down. 1 2 MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kelvin, if you could 4 come forward and be sworn in. 5 MR. KELVIN: There's an exhibit here, Judge. 6 THE COURT: That's all right. You can just leave it right there. 8 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand. 9 Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you are 10 about to give in the matter now pending before this Court will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 11 12 truth? 13 MR. KELVIN: I do. 14 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. 15 MR. KELVIN: May I sit down? 16 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, you may. 17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kelvin, have you had an opportunity to review Docket No. 35 filed on October  $7^{\rm th}$ 18 19 by Mr. Bellecini with the additional declaration from Mr. 20 Schwartz and the attached exhibits? 21 MR. KELVIN: He served me with a copy. 22 THE COURT: Have you had an opportunity -- have 23 you taken the time to look at it? 2.4 MR. KELVIN: I read what he sent to me, but there 25 were two declarations. I mean there were two filings.

- 1 | Neither one of them are endorsed.
- THE COURT: There was a declaration from Mr.
- 3 Bellicini which then attached the further declaration of
- 4 Mr. Schwartz.
- 5 MR. KELVIN: I'm sorry to confuse you, Your Honor.
- 6 As I was coming into the metal detector, I actually dropped
- 7 | my file, but there's -- on September 27<sup>th</sup>, Mr. Bellicini
- 8 | served me with a response.
- 9 THE COURT: Right.
- 10 MR. KELVIN: Then there was a further filing, and
- 11 that's the part that I think I dropped. Hold on just a
- 12 minute. I have the further declaration of Donald Schwartz,
- 13 but I think at one point on top of that was a further
- 14 declaration of Mr. Bellicini.
- 15 THE COURT: Correct. And I'm looking at Docket
- 16 | No. 35, page 3 of 18, which is the further declaration of
- 17 Mr Schwartz.
- 18 MR. KELVIN: I think I'm holding it in my hand.
- 19 Is it a two-page document dated October 7<sup>th</sup>?
- 20 THE COURT: Correct.
- 21 MR. KELVIN: Yes, I have it.
- 22 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. KELVIN: Somehow I've made a mess already.
- 24 THE COURT: It says at paragraph 2, it says:
- 25 "During the September 25<sup>th</sup>, 2019 telephone"

1	conversation between Mr. Heath, myself and Mr.
2	Bellicini, Mr. Heath said that he met Mr. Kelvin
3	in person at the San Jose Superior Court on
4	either July $9^{\text{th}}$ or July $10^{\text{th}}$ , $2019$ , and Mr. Kelvin
5	signed the within petition for bankruptcy. I do
6	not have knowledge or a belief of who is in
7	possession of the (quote) 'wet signature' (closed
8	quote) petition. I do not have it because it
9	never came into my possession."
10	Did you sign the petition?
11	MR. KELVIN: No. I stated in my declaration I
12	didn't sign it, and I state it again today.
13	THE COURT: All right. And the first time you saw
14	the bankruptcy petition is when Mr. Bellicini sent it to
15	you when it was the initial response to this Court's OSC?
16	MR. KELVIN: Yes.
17	THE COURT: All right. On July $9^{\rm th}$ , were you in
18	San Jose?
19	MR. KELVIN: I think I was.
20	THE COURT: And on July 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2019?
21	MR. KELVIN: I have my calendar here.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. KELVIN: I have a preliminary examination that
24	I believe is not even over yet, although it started in July
25	in Judge Silva's court. It's a co-defendant case. My

```
client is Mr. Collins. And according to my calendar, it
 1
    started on the 8^{th} and I think it continued to the 9^{th}, and
    it may well have continued to the 10^{th}. So the answer is
    for sure on the 9^{th} and probably on the 10^{th}.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. And did
 5
 6
    you meet with Mr. Heath on July 9<sup>th</sup>?
              MR. KELVIN: No.
 8
              THE COURT: Did you meet with Mr. Heath on July
    10<sup>th</sup>?
 9
10
              MR. KELVIN: No.
              THE COURT: Did you meet with Mr. Health on July
11
    8<sup>th</sup>?
12
13
              MR. KELVIN: No.
14
              THE COURT: All right. When is the last time
15
    you've seen Mr. Heath?
16
              MR. KELVIN: Well, is that a trick question?
17
              THE COURT: Nope. I'm just asking you. I don't
18
    know the answer.
              MR. KELVIN: I don't think I've ever met him.
19
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. KELVIN: I mean it's the kind of question that
21
22
    assumes the answer, like when did you stop beating your
23
    wife?
2.4
               THE COURT: I don't disagree with you. I just
25
    assumed from what has been -- I've been -- the declarations
```

I've received from Mr. Schwartz have given the impression 1 2 that somehow you may know Mr. Heath. If your testimony is you know Mr. Heath, but you've never actually met him, that's what I want to get clarification. MR. KELVIN: I'm sure I've never met him. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. Have you had the opportunity to speak with Mr. Heath on behalf of Brook Street Holdings, 8 LLC with regards to the filing of a bankruptcy? 9 MR. KELVIN: No. 10 THE COURT: Have you ever had the opportunity to speak with Mr. Heath on behalf of Brook Street, LLC with 11 12 regards to any business dealings concerning Brook Street 13 Holdings, LLC? 14 MR. KELVIN: No. THE COURT: On July 9, 2010 (sic) did you have an 15 16 opportunity to speak with Mr. Schwartz? 17 MR. KELVIN: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Do you recall what the conversation -again, there's July 9th and July 10th. 19 I'm sorry. I had one 20 MR. KELVIN: Oh. 21 conversation with him, to my knowledge, and I thought it was on the  $10^{th}$ . 22 23 THE COURT: Well, it may be. I just said -- I

24

25

wanted to specifically ask if you'd had any -- you had

acknowledged previously in this OSC that you had spoken

- 1 with Mr. Schwartz on the morning of July  $10^{\text{th}}$  about
- 2 utilizing your ecf privileges.
- MR. KELVIN: I thought it was Pacer, but the
- 4 answer is yes.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. My question to you is, the day
- 6 prior, July 9th, did you have an opportunity to speak with
- 7 Mr. Schwartz?
- 8 MR. KELVIN: No.
- 9 THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to meet
- 10 | with him?
- MR. KELVIN: No.
- 12 THE COURT: On July 10<sup>th</sup>, you spoke with Mr.
- 13 Schwartz.
- MR. KELVIN: Yes.
- 15 THE COURT: Did you speak with him in person or
- 16 over the telephone?
- MR. KELVIN: Over the telephone.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. And other than a
- 19 discussion regarding the use of your ecf or Pacer
- 20 | privileges, did you discuss anything else with Mr.
- 21 | Schwartz?
- 22 MR. KELVIN: Not that I recall.
- 23 THE COURT: And you didn't speak with Mr. Heath
- 24 that day.
- MR. KELVIN: No.

```
THE COURT: That's July 10th.
1
2
              MR. KELVIN: Yes, I did not.
 3
              THE COURT: You did not speak with Mr. Heath on
    July 9^{th} either.
 4
 5
              MR. KELVIN: No.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Bellicini, do
    you have any questions?
 8
              MR. BELLICINI: I have a few, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
10
              MR. BELLICINI: Good afternoon, Mr. Kelvin.
11
              MR. KELVIN: Good afternoon.
12
              MR. BELLICINI: In your declaration, you state,
13
    quote:
14
              "I received the e-mail message to the Schwartz
15
              response but it was not accurate and I basically
16
              ignored it."
17
    Do you recall that testimony?
18
              MR. KELVIN: Is that in paragraph 3 of my
    declaration?
19
20
              MR. BELLICINI: It is, the very last sentence.
21
              MR. KELVIN: Yes, I see it.
22
              MR. BELLICINI: Okay.
23
              THE COURT: And Mr. Bellicini, just for the
    record, you're referring to Docket No. 30 filed on 9-18
24
25
    with the court? It's the declaration of Mr. Kelvin.
```

```
MR. BELLICINI: I have that declaration in front
1
2
    of me. I do not have the docket number.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. For the record's purpose, it is
    Docket No. 30 filed on the 18th of September.
 5
              MR. BELLICINI: So -- and just so that we're
 6
    clear, that would be the e-mail that Mr. Schwartz attached
    as Exhibit B to his September 4th declaration.
 8
              MR. KELVIN: Hold on.
 9
              MR. BELLICINI: Which is attached to an e-mail.
10
              MR. KELVIN: I see an Exhibit A. You're going to
   have to help me, Mr. Bellicini. I see a document --
11
12
              MR. BELLICINI: May I approach the witness, Your
13
   Honor?
14
              THE COURT: You may, Mr. Bellicini.
15
              MR. BELLICINI: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
              MR. KELVIN: It says here Exhibit A, right?
17
    there's a B somewhere.
18
             MR. BELLICINI: There's Exhibit A. I want Exhibit
19
   В.
20
              MR. KELVIN: I know you do. I'm not clear where
21
    it is. But it should be underneath A somewhere. I believe
22
    it's in this stack because all this was on top of each
23
   other.
24
             MR. BELLICINI: I'll just show you mine. It's
```

Exhibit B. That's the e-mail to you dated July 10th at 9:14

25

```
a.m. This is the e-mail -- you have never seen it.
1
2
              MR. KELVIN: Yeah, I received it.
 3
              MR. BELLICINI: Thank you. And it's your
 4
    testimony you ignored this e-mail, right?
 5
              MR. KELVIN: I thought I replied to an e-mail.
 6
              MR. BELLICINI: Your declaration says, quote:
              "But it was not accurate, and I basically ignored
              it."
 8
 9
    Closed quote. Right?
              MR. KELVIN: Well, were there two e-mails? I'm
10
    sorry. I shouldn't be asking questions. If I said I
11
12
    ignored it; that's what I did.
13
              MR. BELLICINI: So you didn't reply to that e-
   mail. That's your testimony.
14
15
              MR. KELVIN: I don't think so. I think I sent an
16
    e-mail where I said that that was not my recollection, so
17
   maybe --
18
              MR. BELLICINI: You did, but that's not in your
19
    declaration; is it?
20
              MR. KELVIN: I didn't say it was in my
21
    declaration; I just said I sent an e-mail.
22
              MR. BELLICINI: In your declaration, you said that
23
    you ignored the e-mail to Mr. Schwartz.
2.4
              THE COURT: To be correct, Mr. Bellicini, he says
25
    "I basically ignored it." I think he qualified "ignored
```

it." I don't know what "basically" means, but there is a word that qualifies "ignored." And Mr. Bellicini, just so we can get to the -- move this along, you attach -- we have an e-mail back from Mr. Kelvin to Mr. Schwartz.

MR. BELLICINI: Yes, we do. This was attached in response to Mr. Kelvin's declaration per this Court's order. And the only point I wanted to make here was that Mr. Kelvin --

THE COURT: Do you have a question for Mr. Kelvin?

MR. BELLICINI: I have no further questions. I

think I sufficiently touched on the issue, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Kelvin, again I'm following up from Mr. Schwartz's declaration at Docket No. 30 filed on 10-7-19. He indicates at paragraph 4, he says:

"I did not prepare the within dismissal. Mr. Heath handed me the original dismissal on July  $10^{\rm th}$ , 2019, and I obtained the copy that I filed with Mr. Kelvin's e-signature from a flash drive that Mr. Heath handed to me. In the telephone conversation my attorney and I had with Mr. Heath on September 25<sup>th</sup>, 2019, Mr. Heath stated that he himself drafted the dismissal."

In paragraph 5, he goes on and says:

"In continuation of the time line from paragraph

```
8 of my declaration in support filed on September
1
              27^{th}, 2019, on July 10^{th}, 2019 at 10:29 a.m., I
 2
 3
              replied to Mr. Kelvin's e-mail of 10:11 a.m.,
 4
              copy attached as Exhibit H and told him I would
 5
              dismiss the case."
 6
    And Exhibit H is an e-mail string. It basically starts
    with:
 8
              "This will confirm our call this morning that you
 9
              instruct and authorize the courier delivery to
10
              the USBK Court the attached petition. Your
              response on Wednesday, July 10th at 10:11, that is
11
12
              not my recollection."
13
    Do you know what you meant by "that is not my collection"?
14
              MR. KELVIN: Well, he said I told him he was
    authorized and instructed to file it. I didn't authorize
15
    or instruct him to file anything.
16
17
              THE COURT: Okay.
18
              MR. KELVIN: How could I do that? I didn't have
    any authority.
19
20
              THE COURT: All right. And then on Wednesday,
21
    July 10^{th}, 2019, at 10:29 a.m., he responds to you by e-mail
22
    and says:
23
              "Then there is a confusion. I will dismiss the
2.4
              case."
25
    Did you receive that e-mail?
```

```
MR. KELVIN: I can't remember, but I think so.
1
2
    It's sent to my address.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. When you received it, did you
   have any thoughts why he was sending that to you?
              MR. KELVIN: Not a clue. And here he's saying I
 5
   prepared the dismissal. I mean how is that possible?
7
              THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Heath has
8
    indicated he prepared it. And you've testified that you
    did not sign the dismissal.
10
              MR. KELVIN: No. It's not my signature, Judge.
11
              THE COURT: All right. And then Exhibit I is
12
    another e-mail from Mr. Schwartz to you and it says:
13
              "Here's the request for dismissal."
14
    Did you receive that?
15
              MR. KELVIN: I'm not seeing Exhibit I, but I am
    confident that the first time I saw either the petition or
16
    the dismissal was when Mr. Bellicini sent them to me.
17
18
              THE COURT: That's why I'm asking. On Exhibit I,
    this is Exhibit I to the declaration of Mr. Schwartz,
19
20
    that's why I wanted to know whether you -- and it says:
21
              "Here's the request for dismissal."
22
   And then it attaches a voluntary dismissal that has your
23
   name on it.
2.4
              MR. KELVIN: My very clear recollection is the
25
    first time I saw either of these documents was when they
```

```
were attached to Mr. Bellicini's declaration or his filing.
2
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. I have no further
 3
    questions. Mr. Kelvin, thank you.
              MR. KELVIN: Thank you, Judge.
              THE COURT: Mr. Fehr, do you have any questions?
 5
 6
              MR. KELVIN: Oh, I almost mixed up your exhibit
   with mine. I have a bad habit of doing that.
 8
              THE COURT: That's all right. Thank you.
 9
              MR. FEHR: Not at this time, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Katz?
11
             MR. KATZ: I have one.
12
              THE COURT: All right.
13
              MR. KATZ: It's of this witness. I know you said
14
    you never met Mr. Edwin Heath, but have you ever
    represented him as an attorney in any matter?
15
16
              MR. KELVIN: I don't think so. I saw your filing
17
    where you said I wrote a letter back in 2016. I don't
18
    remember writing that letter, and you didn't attach it.
19
             MR. KATZ: You're correct; I didn't. But are you
    familiar with the property at 3070 Brook Street?
20
21
              MR. KELVIN: I mean I don't know what you mean by
22
    "familiar." I know it exists. I know there's a
23
    foreclosure.
2.4
              MR. KATZ: Do you have a relationship with CNA
25
   Equity, which is a lender?
```

1 MR. KELVIN: No. 2 MR. KATZ: Are you -- do you know Mr. -- it'll 3 come to me -- the broker up in Montclair at Coldwell Banker? MR. KELVIN: I believe there's more than one. 5 6 You're going to have to be a little more specific. 7 MR. KATZ: I don't have my file with me. I can't 8 remember if his name is Schwab or something. MR. KELVIN: It's not Charles Schwab; is it? 10 MR. KATZ: No. 11 MR. KELVIN: I can't help you. I know a lot of 12 people. 13 MR. KATZ: Okay. Yeah. Okay, do you have any business relationship with anybody at Coldwell Banker in 14 15 the Montclair Oakland Branch? 16 MR. KELVIN: Yeah. I had a dispute over a house 17 in Maxwell Park, and I represented the seller who was 18 trying to cancel the sale, and the buyer went to court and 19 received a decree of specific performance, and I had to go 20 to that Coldwell Banker office to sign some documents to 21 close the transaction. 22 MR. KATZ: And do you remember who the person at 23 Coldwell Banker -- at that office is -- was? 2.4 MR. KELVIN: It was a lady. She's kind of cute. 25 MR. KATZ: Okay. Are you familiar with anybody at

- 1 CNA Equity? I can't remember if it's Partners, but that 2 lender up in the valley?
- 3 MR. KELVIN: No, I thought that was a certified 4 nursing assistant. I'm not familiar with CNA.
- MR. KATZ: Okay. Are you familiar with an
  appraiser who did an appraisal for 3070 Brook Street
  Holdings? Well, no, I'm sorry; it wasn't for 3070 Brook
  Street Holdings, of the property at 3070 Brook Street in
- MR. KELVIN: No, I'm not familiar with an appraisal or the appraiser.
- MR. KATZ: Are you familiar with an appraisal that
  was done some time either in 2016 or 2017 or maybe even
  2018 on Wood Street Plaza in San Francisco?
- MR. KELVIN: Wood Street Plaza?
- MR. KATZ: Yeah.

2016.

- 17 MR. KELVIN: That's different than Brook Street?
- 18 MR. KATZ: Correct.
- MR. KELVIN: No, I'm not.
- 20 MR. KATZ: Well, it was the property before Wood 21 Street Plaza bought it.
- 22 MR. KELVIN: I'm not familiar with it.
- 23 MR. KATZ: Okay. That's all I have.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right.
- 25 | Anything else, gentlemen? Mr. Bellicini, anything?

1 MR. BELLICINI: Nothing further, Your Honor.

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Kelvin, you can step down. Thank you.

MR. KELVIN: Thank you, Judge. I had a very neat file when I came here.

I'm going to indefinitely continue this OSC until the United States Trustee and the Chapter 7 Trustee have done further investigation with regards to this bankruptcy and possibly other bankruptcies. I will likely expand the nature and extent of the OSC. I may add an additional individual or individuals to it, but at this juncture, I think until there's some further investigation done by the Trustees, various trustee's offices, I think it would be prudent to indefinitely continue it.

Mr. Bellicini, if you could come forward just at the side bar.

MR. BELLICINI: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Off the record side bar discussion.)

THE COURT: All right. Again, the Court will issue when it wishes to resume with the OSC, but it's going to be indefinite. The Court will issue a new notice and will notify Mr. Bellicini, Mr. Kelvin.

Mr. Russo, we have your card. We can add you to that.

MR. RUSSO: That would be wonderful.

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. And then Mr. Katz, what the Court is probably going to be wanting to see is what the United States Trustee and the Chapter 7 Trustee find out in further investigation. I want you to know that although the documents haven't been filed and Mr. Schwartz -- and Mr. Bellecini has advised the Court that pursuant to unrelated State Bar proceedings, Mr. Schwartz may be suspended for a period of time, this case is not being dismissed. There apparently is an asset. There are creditors, and the asset may in fact have some equity in it, from at least what Mr. Katz has provided to the Court, and Mr. Katz, if Mr. Mansdorf and/or the U.S. Trustee wants something back before the Court, you can request a status conference. I'll be happy to do that.

examinations or any discovery and you need the assistance of the Court, you can feel free to contact Ms. Berly (Phonetic). Those can be conducted in this courtroom subject to this courtroom's availability so that I'm available if there are issues regarding questions. I'll be here to assist in that process. Okay?

MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you all. We are adjourned for the day.

1	(Whereupon, the proceedings are concluded at 2:37 p.m .)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
9	
10	
11	I certify that the foregoing is a correct
12	transcript from the digital sound recording of the
13	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
14	
15	DATED: October 23, 2019
16	
17	By: /s/ Jo McCall
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Doc# 43 Filed: 10/24/19 Entered: 10/24/19 17:53:51 Page 59 of 59